IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

TUESDAY, THE 13TH FEBRUARY 2007 / 24TH MAGHA 1928

WP(C).No. 2825 of 2007(J)

---

PETITIONER: PETITIONERS

---

1. SMT. S.SREEKALA, W/O. SREEKUMAR,
   AGED 32 YEARS, PERMANENT ANGANWADI HELPER,
   CENTRE NO.4, NEDUVATHUR, I.C.D.S., KOTTARAKARA,
   AND RESIDING AT THEMPRAVILA VEEDU,
   THEKKUMPURAM, PAVITHRESWARAM P.O.,
   PUTHUR.

2. SMT. PRASANTHAKUMARI D., W/O.HARSHAKUMAR
   AGED 44 YEARS, PERMANENT ANGANWADI HELPER,
   CENTRE NO.10, VELIYAM WEST, I.C.D.S.,
   KOTTARAKARA, AND RESIDING AT KARIKKATHIL VEEDU,
   MUTTARA P.O., ODANAVATTOM, KOTTARAKKARA.

3. SMT. KUNJAMMA M., W/O. LATE GEORGE,
   AGED 53 YEARS, PERMANENT ANGANWADI HELPER,
   CENTRE NO.NR-4, NEDUVATHOOR PANCHAYATH,
   I.C.D.S., KOTTARAKARA, AND RESIDING AT
   "JISHA BHAVANAM", THEKKUMPURAM,
   PUTHUR P.O., KOTTARAKKARA.

BY ADV. SRI.N.UNNIKRISHNAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE GOVERNMENT OF KERALA,
   REP. BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT,
   SOCIAL WELFARE (B) DEPARTMENT,
   GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL WELFARE,
   VIKAS BHAVAN, SOCIAL WELFARE DEPARTMENT,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE CHILD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT OFFICER,
   ICDS, KOTTARAKKARA, KOLLAM.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SHRI. BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 13/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**K.K. DENESAN, J.**

**= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =**
**W.P.(C) No.2825 OF 2007 J**
**= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =**

**Dated this the 13th February, 2007**

**J U D G M E N T**

The petitioners have filed Ext. P17 representation before the 1st respondent requesting to remove certain discrepancies and anomalies in the order passed by the Government as per G.O.(Rt.) No. 35/2006/SWD dated 11-10-2006. Govt. Pleader submits that Ext. P17 has been received in the office of the 1st respondent and that a communication has been sent to the 2nd respondent directing it to offer remarks.

2. It is necessary that urgent decision shall be taken on Ext. P17. Hence, there shall be an order directing the 1st respondent to consider Ext. P17, take appropriate decision and communicate the same to the petitioners within six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the judgment. Counsel for the petitioners submits that after the issuance of the Govt. Order dated 11-10-2006 some of the offending clauses have undergone changes and therefore the petitioners would like to file a supplementary representation so as to bring to the notice of the Government the situation

that prevails in the light of such development. The petitioners are free to file any such supplementary representation. If such representation is received, the same shall be considered along with Ext. P17.

The petitioners shall produce a copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before the 1st respondent for compliance.

**K.K. DENESAN**
**JUDGE**

jan/